

1 Sewerage overflows put production and fertility of dairy cows at
2 risk

3

4

5 Gerwin A.L. Meijer^{1,*}, J. de Bree², J.A. Wagenaar³, S.F. Spoelstra¹

6

7 DLO - Institute for Animal Science and Health

8 ¹ Department of Ruminant Nutrition

9 ² Department of Pathobiology and Epidemiology

10 ³ Department of Bacteriology

11 PO Box 65

12 8200 AB Lelystad

13 The Netherlands

14

15 Telephone : +31 320 238 238

16 Telefax : +31 320 237 320

17 Email: g.a.l.meijer@id.dlo.nl

18

19 Running title: Risks associated with sewerage overflows for grazing
20 dairy cattle

21

22 This study was financed by:

23 De Stichting voor Wetenschappelijk Natuur en Milieubeleid,
24 Nieuwlande, The Netherlands.

25 Het Landbouwschap, The Hague, The Netherlands.

26

27

28 date received:

1 Abstract

2

3 More than 50 % of the dairy farmers in the Netherlands use surface
4 water as the main source of drinking water for their cows during the
5 grazing season. The quality of this water may be affected by
6 discharges from sewerage overflows, but possible effects on health
7 of dairy cows have not been quantified. Our objective, therefore,
8 was to assess the risk of impaired production and fertility in dairy
9 cows that drink surface water in direct contact with a sewerage
10 overflow. Standardized milk production of cows from 60 farms using
11 surface water in direct contact with a sewerage overflow was 0.9 L/d
12 less ($P = 0.09$) than that of cows from 397 farms using surface water
13 not in contact with an overflow. Also, age at first calving was 20
14 days higher ($P < 0.01$) in heifers exposed to drinking water in
15 contact with sewerage overflows. These results strengthen earlier
16 suggestions that sewerage overflows may reduce production and
17 fertility of dairy cows.

1 Introduction

2

3 Approximately 50% of the dairy farmers in the Netherlands use
4 surface water as the main source of drinking water for their cows,
5 especially during the grazing season. With increasing population
6 density, the quality of surface water may be affected by sewage
7 effluents, particularly from sewerage overflows that discharge
8 untreated sewage into surface water. These overflows are designed to
9 discharge approximately 7 times per year (Wiggers, 1993) when supply
10 of sewage is larger than the storage and transport capacity of the
11 sewerage. Overflows occur mainly during heavy rainfalls in the
12 grazing season, and may have a dramatic effect on the quality of the
13 surface water, especially when these are small ditches with a low
14 water flow (de Zwart & Luttik, 1989). After discharge of a sewerage
15 overflow, surface water may have increased concentrations of
16 nutrients, heavy metals (de Zwart & Luttik, 1989), chemical
17 compounds like PAC's, organochloric compounds, detergents and
18 mineral oil (van Campen et al., 1989) and micro-organisms including
19 pathogens like Cryptosporidium and Giardia (Medema & Ketelaars,
20 1995). These higher concentrations may affect health and fertility
21 of animals drinking this water (WHO, 1989; White et al., 1994;
22 Esteban and Anderson, 1995; Sumpter, 1995; Olson et al. 1996).
23 Approximately 12,000 sewerage overflows exist in the Netherlands and
24 the cows of approximately 1 of every 20 dairy farmers may be at risk
25 (Kuipers, 1996). However, it is not known to what extent the health
26 of cows drinking surface water in direct contact with sewerage
27 overflows is affected. The objective of this study was to assess the
28 risk of impairment of production and fertility of heifers and dairy
29 cows due to their drinking surface water in direct contact with a
30 sewerage overflow.

1 Material and methods

2

3 Data for the comparison were obtained from two different sources.

4 Data about the type of water used as drinking water for the cows and
5 whether this water was in direct contact with a sewerage overflow or
6 not were taken from the 1995 annual inquiry on grassland utilization
7 (Kuipers, 1996). This inquiry is part of the more comprehensive
8 annual agricultural account "Landbouwtelling" (Boers et al., 1995).

9 In addition to the standard survey, the 1995 inquiry on grassland
10 utilization asked 3000 farmers with sheep or cattle about the type
11 of drinking water they used for their animals during the grazing
12 season. Possible answers were surface water, groundwater, tap water,
13 or any combination of these. When surface water was the main source,
14 farmers were asked whether this water was in direct contact with a
15 sewerage overflow (yes, no, not known). From the data of 1783
16 respondents, we first selected farms with lactating dairy cows ($n =$
17 1274). Further, we selected farms where surface water ($n = 294$), or
18 a combination of surface water and ground- or tap water ($n = 344$)
19 was used. From this group ($n = 638$), we selected the farms of
20 farmers who knew whether the surface water was, or was not, in
21 direct contact with a sewerage overflow ($n = 508$). The postal codes
22 of these farms were then used to select production and fertility
23 data of the cows on these farms from the Royal Dutch Cattle
24 Syndicate (NRS).

25 The NRS compiles production and fertility data of approximately 90%
26 of the dairy farmers (Wismans, 1992). Individual milk production of
27 dairy cows is surveyed every 3 or 6 weeks (Wilminck, 1987). Data are
28 sent to the farmer as well as to the NRS. Also, the NRS collects all
29 data concerning dates of birth, inseminations, calving and death of
30 individual cows.

1 The information about the type of drinking water applied to the
2 grazing season of 1995 (approximately May–October). All production
3 and fertility data were selected and averaged for the appropriate
4 periods as follows.

5

6 Milk production

7 Average milk production on the day of the 3 or 6 week milk survey
8 was calculated for each farm. Values were standardized for age of
9 the cow, month of calving and stage of lactation according to NRS
10 procedures (Wilmink, 1987). This standardized milk production is
11 sensitive to changes in management and may also be used to compare
12 differences among farms. The average standardized milk production
13 during the period of 1 May to 1 September of 1995 was calculated for
14 each farm.

15

16 Mammary gland health

17 The number of cells in milk is an indicator of the health of the
18 mammary gland. During mastitis the number of cells in milk
19 increases. For each cow the number of cells in milk was standardized
20 for age at calving and amount of milk produced and expressed as a
21 relative to the normal value (taken as 1). Values were averaged over
22 cows and over the period of 1 May to 1 September 1995.

23

24 Fertility of heifers

25 Age at first insemination, age at first successful insemination and
26 number of inseminations required for pregnancy were calculated for
27 all heifers that were first inseminated during the period of 1 May
28 1995 to 1 June of 1996. Age at first calving was calculated for all
29 heifers that calved during the period of 1 January to 1 June 1996.
30 Values were averaged for each farm.

1
2 Fertility of dairy cows
3 The interval between calving and first insemination and between
4 calving and successful insemination as well as the number of
5 inseminations required for pregnancy was calculated for each cow
6 that calved during the grazing season (15 April to 1 October) of
7 1995. Values were averaged for each farm. Cases in which subsequent
8 inseminations were separated by more than 56 days (two cycles) were
9 interpreted as abortions. The number of cows that calved during the
10 grazing season and showed abortions after subsequent insemination
11 was expressed as a percentage of all cows that calved during the
12 grazing season and were subsequently inseminated.

13

14 Statistical analysis
15 The hypothesis that the use of water that has direct contact with a
16 sewerage overflow impairs production and fertility of dairy cows was
17 tested by means of a one-sided two-sample t-test. P-values < 0.10
18 were considered statistically significant.

1 Results and Discussion

2

3 Standardized milk production was 0.9 L/d lower on farms that

4 used surface water in direct contact with a sewerage overflow (Table

5 1). There were no differences in the number of cells in milk,

6 indicating that health of the mammary gland was not influenced.

7 Age at first and at successful insemination was on average 11 days

8 greater for heifers drinking surface water in contact with a

9 sewerage overflow than in other heifers, though this difference was

10 not significant (Table 1). The number of inseminations required for

11 pregnancy was equal in both groups. Heifers in the exposed group

12 that calved in the first half year after the grazing period were

13 significantly older at first calving ($P < 0.01$; Table 1). The

14 difference was almost three weeks. The age at first insemination and

15 number of inseminations were the same in both groups, suggesting

16 that exposure to surface water in direct contact with a sewerage

17 overflow lengthened the pregnancy period of heifers, possibly by

18 slowing growth of the fetus.

19

20 There was no difference between exposed and control cows in the

21 interval of calving to first insemination, the interval of calving

22 to successful insemination, number of inseminations required for

23 pregnancy and percentage of abortions (Table 1).

24

25 Our study is, to our knowledge, the first attempt to assess the

26 effect of sewerage overflows on a dairy production system with

27 outdoor grazing cattle. Our analysis shows impaired milk production

28 and fertility of cows on farms where surface water in direct contact

29 with a sewerage overflow is used as the main source of drinking

30 water for the cows. We included farmers using a combination of

 surface water and tap or ground water in the group at risk. This was

 based on the assumption that surface water was still the main source

1 as it was drunk during the larger (grazing) part of the day, whilst
2 the tap or ground water was supplied in the milking parlor or barn.
3 This is a common practice in the Netherlands. Restricting the group
4 at risk to the farmers that used surface water only did not change
5 essentially any of the effects described herein. However, because of
6 the reduction of the sample size, the P-values increased slightly.

7 Information about the presence of a sewerage overflow was
8 obtained from the farmers themselves, so this factor may be
9 confounded with other management factors. Unfortunately, it was not
10 possible to identify farmers who unknowingly used surface water that
11 was in direct contact with a sewerage overflow. We think the current
12 analysis is valid because the possible risks of sewerage overflows
13 were not as clear to farmers in 1995, when the data were obtained,
14 as they are now after the public attention drawn to this problem
15 since then. Another argument for the validity of our conclusions is
16 that management factors aimed at increasing milk production in
17 individual cows usually impair fertility (Olds et al., 1979; Rougoor
18 et al. 1996). In our study, both production and fertility were
19 negatively affected.

20 We eliminated possible effects of factors such as geographical
21 location of farms and farm size, using information from the
22 comprehensive annual survey on agriculture. This was done by means
23 of multiple regression models. There was no indication that the
24 differences in production and fertility between the control and case
25 group as described herein could be attributed to factors other than
26 the overflow connection.

27 For farmers a loss of 0.9 L/d of standardized milk production
28 equals approximately 0.6 L/d per cow producing 7500 L in 300 days.
29 With an average of 40 cows per farm this adds up to the loss of 7200
30 L/yr., or the total production of one cow. This loss represents

1 approximately 10% of an average farmer's income. The financial loss
2 due to impaired fertility of heifers and cows as found in this study
3 further increases the loss of income by approximately 5 %
4 (Dijkhuizen et al. 1985).

5 We conclude that sewerage overflows affect production and
6 fertility of dairy cows. To solve this problem cows should be
7 prevented from drinking surface water in contact with a sewerage
8 overflow or overflows should be removed from sites where grazing
9 cows drink surface water. Research aimed at the identification and
10 quantification of the hazardous agents involved is needed to
11 distinguish the priority and effectiveness of these costly measures.

1 Acknowledgments

2 We thank the Central Bureau for Statistics Netherlands (CBS) and the
3 Royal Dutch Cattle Syndicate (NRS) for providing data and computing
4 facilities.

References

- Boers, G.J., R.D. Meesters, J. Dijk. 1995. Comparing agricultural accounts with other literature. Report no. 141. Agricultural Economics Research Institute (LEI-DLO), The Hague.
- Campen, A.L.B.M. van, S.A. Oldenkamp, A.J. Oortgiesen. 1989. Microverontreinigingen in het afstromend regenwater van verharde oppervlakken. (Micro-contaminants in runoff water from paved surfaces). *H₂O* 22:6-8.
- Dijkhuizen, A. A., J. Stelwagen, and J. A. Renkema. 1985. Economic aspects of reproductive failure. Financial loss at farm level. *Prev. Vet. Med.* 3: 251.
- Esteban, E., B.C. Anderson. 1995. *Cryptosporidium muris*: prevalence, persistency, and detrimental effect on milk production in a drylot dairy. *J. Dairy Sci.* 78:1068-1072.
- Kuipers, N.J.J. 1996. Een op de twintig bedrijven met rundvee heeft en riooloverstort in de buurt. (One of every 20 dairy farms has a sewerage overflow in its neighborhood) Press release no. PB95-325a from the Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek, Ministry of Economische Zaken, The Hague, 25 January, 1996.
- Medema, G.J., and H.A.M. Ketelaars. 1995. Betekenis van *Cryptosporidium* en *Giardia* voor de drinkwatervoorziening. (Relevance of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* for the supply of drinking water). *H₂O* 28:699-704.
- Olds, D., T. Cooper, and F.A. Thrift. 1979. Relationships between milk yield and fertility in dairy cattle. *J. Dairy Sci.* 62: 1140-1144.
- Olson, M.E., T.A. McAllister, K.J. Cheng. 1996. The influence of water-borne diseases on production in ruminants. In: L.M. Rode

- (Ed.). Proc. of the 1996 Canadian Society of Animal Science Annual Meeting, Lethbridge, Alberta. July 7-11. pp. 47-56.
- Rougoor, C.W., F. Mandersloot, W.J.A. Hanenkamp, R.B.M. Huinre, A.A. Dijkhuizen. 1996. Data analysis to quantify the impact of management on dairy farm performance. In: Beers, G., R.B.M. Huinre, and H.C. Pruis (eds.) Farmers in small-scale and large-scale farming in a new perspective; Objective, decision making and information requirements. Research report no. 143. Agricultural Economics Research Institute (LEI-DLO), The Hague, pp. 61-69.
- Sumpter, J.P. 1995. Feminized responses in fish to environmental estrogens. *Toxicol. Lett.* 83: 737-742.
- WHO, World Health Organization. 1989. Health guidelines for the use of wastewater in agriculture and aquaculture. Technical Report Series No. 778, Geneva, Switzerland.
- White R., S. Jobling, S. A. Hoare, J. P. Sumpter, and M. G. Parker. 1994. Environmentally persistent alkylphenolic compounds are estrogenic. *Endocrinology* 135:175-182.
- Wiggers, J.B.M. (1993) Emissie vanuit rioolering en afvalwaterzuiveringsinrichtingen. (Emissions from sewerage overflows and sewage treatment systems). *H₂O* 26:126-132.
- Wilmink, J.B.M. 1987. Adjustment of test-day milk, fat and protein yield for age, season and stage of lactation. *Livestock Production Science* 16:335-348.
- Wismans, W.M.G. 1992. The Dutch cattle breeding structure, the cattle database and its products for farmers. *British Cattle Breeders' Club Digest* 47: 43-50.
- Zwart, D. de, R. Luttik. 1989. De gevolgen van overstortingen uit een gemengd rioolstelsel voor de kwaliteit van oppervlaktewater. (Effects of overflows from a mixed sewerage on surface water

quality). H₂O 22:13-18.

Table 1. Farm averages of production and fertility parameters of heifers and dairy cows as affected by exposure to surface water in direct contact with a sewerage overflow.

Parameter	Water in contact with Sewerage Overflow		Difference	<i>P</i>	95% confidence limit
	yes	no			
Number of farms†	50-60	287-397			
Heifers:					
Age at first insemination (d)	523	512	11	0.12	> -5
Age at successful insemination (d)	543	532	11	0.13	> -5
Number of inseminations	1.61	1.65	0.04	0.62	> -0.23
Age at first calving (d)	804	784	20	< 0.01	> 6
Cows:					
Standardized milk production (L/d)	35.9	36.8	-0.9	0.09	< 0.2
Standardized cell numbers in milk	1.18	1.15	0.03	0.37	> -0.14
Calving to first insemination (d)	89	93	-4	0.79	> -11
Calving to successful insemination (d)	128	124	4	0.14	> -2
Number of inseminations	1.80	1.79	0.01	0.41	> -0.09
Abortions (%)	8.3	7.1	1.2	0.12	> -0.47

† Exact number depends on the parameter analyzed.